

List of Figures

Figure 1 The Southern Appalachian Assessment area.

Figure 2 The photographs depict what a 3-deciview decrease in haziness (visibility improvement) would look like compared with the current median summer condition and natural background visibility.

Figure 3 Modeled distribution of mean wet sulfate loadings (in kilograms/hectare/year) during the period of 1983-1990.

Figure 4 Modeled distribution of mean wet nitrate loadings (in kilograms/hectare/year) during the period of 1983-1990.

Figure 5 Areas with the greatest frequency of potential ozone damage, 1983-1990.

Figure 1.1 Location of the Southern Appalachian Assessment study area.

Figure 2.1 Emissions domain used for the Southern Appalachian Assessment.

Figure 2.2 National particulate matter (PM10) emissions by principle source categories, 1994.

Figure 2.3 Location of point sources of particulate matter – 1995.

Figure 2.4 Trend in national emissions of nitrogen oxides, volatile organic compounds, sulfur dioxide, and particulate matter (PM10: nonfugitive dust sources) for 1940-1994.

Figure 2.5 Location of point sources of nitrogen oxides – 1995.

Figure 2.6 Major highways located in the Southern Appalachian Assessment study area.

Figure 2.7 National nitrogen oxide emissions by principal source categories, 1994.

Figure 2.8 Location of point sources of volatile organic compounds – 1995.

Figure 2.9 National volatile organic compound emission estimates by source category, 1994.

Figure 2.10 National sulfur dioxide emissions by principal categories, 1994.

Figure 2.11 Location of point sources of sulfur dioxide – 1995.

Figure 2.12 Results from the Toxicity Indexing Profile (TIP).

Figure 4.1 Location of southeastern Class I areas where visibility monitoring has been conducted.

Figure 4.2 Historical trends in winter and summer haze (light extinction) from airport data for the mid-Atlantic region, including the Southern Appalachian area (1960-1992).

Figure 4.3 Photographs of James River Face Wilderness depicting seasonal variation in visibility conditions.

Figure 4.4 Photographs of James River Face Wilderness in southwest Virginia depict the measured range of visibility.

Figure 4.5 Annual visibility extinction budgets derived from aerosol measurements for Class I areas in the southeastern United States.

Figure 4.6 Relationship between sulfur dioxide emissions and haziness in the southeastern United States during the summer months.

Figure 4.7 The Regional Acid Deposition Model (RADM) predicts decreases in annual median haziness (visibility improvement) due to implementation of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

Figure 4.8 The Regional Acid Deposition Model (RADM) predicts visibility improvements due to implementation of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 to be greatest during the summer months.

Figure 4.9 The photographs depict what a 3-deciview decrease in haziness (visibility improvement) would look like compared with the current median summer condition and natural background visibility.

Figure 4.10 Projected sulfur dioxide emissions in the eastern United States after the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 are implemented.

Figure 5.1 Modeled distribution of mean wet sulfate loadings (in kilograms/hectare/year) during the period of 1983-1990.

Figure 5.2 Modeled distribution of mean wet nitrate loadings (in kilograms/hectare/year) during the period of 1983-1990.

Figure 5.3 National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP) map of contours of sulfate loading (kg/ha) for 1993.

Figure 5.4 National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP) map of contours of nitrate loading (kg/ha) for 1993.

Figure 5.5 National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NADP) map of contours of pH for 1993.

Figure 5.6 Total loading of sulfate ($\text{eq ha}^{-1}\text{y}^{-1}$) to Integrated Forest Study (IFS) sites during 1986-1989, at Great Smoky Mountains National Park (ST), Duke Forest (DL), Oak Ridge (LP), B.F. Grant Forest, Georgia (GL) and Coweeta Hydrologic Laboratory (CP).

Figure 5.7 Subregions of the National Stream Survey – Phase I.

Figure 6.1 Results for the W126, and the number of hours with ozone concentrations greater than or equal to 0.10 ppm at ozone monitoring sites 1988.

Figure 6.2 Results after combining the W126 and number of hours with ozone concentrations greater than or equal to 0.10 ppm – 1988.

Figure 6.3 Palmer Hydrologic Drought Index result – 1988.

Figure 6.4 Results after combining the ozone exposure and the Palmer Hydrologic Drought Index – 1988.

Figure 6.5 Areas with the greatest frequency of potential ozone damage, 1983-1990.